Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/586,567	HARRINGTON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hai Vo	1794
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n: <u>80</u>
(1) <u>Hai Vo</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David J. Oldenkamp</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 August 2009	Time: 1:00 pm	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
7, 9 and 29		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN	ERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative agrees to cancel claim 29, and amer place the instant application in condition for allowance	nd claims 7 and 9 in a manns	er as suggested by the examiner to
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To		

of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Hai Vo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)